

# On a fixed point in the metric space of normalized Hausdorff moment sequences

Christian Berg\* and Maryam Beygmohammadi

March 22, 2010

## Abstract

We show that the transformation  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow (1/(1 + x_1 + \dots + x_n))_{n \geq 1}$  of the compact set of sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of numbers from the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  has a unique fixed point, which is attractive. The fixed point turns out to be a Hausdorff moment sequence studied in [3].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 37C25; secondary 44A60.

Keywords: Fixed points, Hausdorff moment sequences.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{K} = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  denote the product space of sequences  $(x_n) = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of numbers from the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .

We consider a transformation  $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  defined by

$$(T(x_n))_n = \frac{1}{1 + x_1 + \dots + x_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

Since  $T$  is a continuous transformation of the compact convex set  $\mathcal{K}$  in the space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of real sequences equipped with the product topology, it has a fixed point  $(m_n)$  by Tychonoff's extension of Brouwer's fixed point theorem. Furthermore, it is clear by (1) that the fixed point  $(m_n)$  is uniquely determined by the equations

$$(1 + m_1 + \dots + m_n)m_n = 1, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

Therefore

$$m_{n+1}^2 + \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n} - 1 = 0, \quad (3)$$

---

\*Corresponding author

giving

$$m_1 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad m_2 = \frac{\sqrt{22 + 2\sqrt{5}} - \sqrt{5} - 1}{4}, \dots$$

Berg and Durán studied this fixed point in [3], and it was proved that  $m_0 = 1, m_1, m_2, \dots$  is a normalized Hausdorff moment sequence, i.e., of the form

$$m_n = \int_0^1 x^n d\tau(x), \quad (4)$$

where  $\tau$  is a probability measure on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . For details about the measure  $\tau$ , see [3] and [4]. We mention that  $\tau$  has an increasing and convex density with respect to Lebesgue measure.

There is no reason a priori that the fixed point  $(m_n)$  should be a Hausdorff moment sequence, but the motivation for the study of  $T$  came from the theory of moment sequences because of the following theorem from [2]:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a Hausdorff moment sequence of a measure  $\mu \neq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the sequence  $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$  defined by  $b_n = 1/(a_0 + \dots + a_n)$  is again a Hausdorff moment sequence, and its associated measure  $\nu = \hat{T}(\mu)$  has the properties  $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$  and*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1 - t^{z+1}}{1 - t} d\mu(t) \int_0^1 t^z d\nu(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } \Re z \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of normalized Hausdorff moment sequences, where we throw away the zero'th moment which is always 1, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(a_n)_{n \geq 1} \mid a_n = \int_0^1 x^n d\mu(x), \mu([0, 1]) = 1\}. \quad (6)$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$  and by Theorem 1.1 we have  $T(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a compact convex subset of  $\mathcal{K}$ , e.g., by using Hausdorff's 1921 characterization of Hausdorff moment sequences as completely monotonic sequences, i.e., sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} a_{n+k} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } m, n \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

See [1] for details.

It was proved in Theorem 2.3 in [3] that  $(m_n)$  is an attractive fixed point of the restriction of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . This proof was a direct proof not building on any classical results on attracting fixed points. For classical fixed point theory see [5].

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following extension of this:

**Theorem 1.2** *The unique fixed point  $(m_n)$  of the transformation  $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  given by (1) is attractive.*

## 2 Proofs and complements

The product topology on the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is induced by the metric

$$d((a_n), (b_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \min\{|a_n - b_n|, 1\} \quad \text{for } (a_n), (b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

which makes it a Fréchet space.

On the compact subset  $\mathcal{K} = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  the expression for the metric is simplified to

$$d((a_n), (b_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |a_n - b_n| \quad \text{for } (a_n), (b_n) \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (8)$$

Before we find the best Lipschitz constant for  $T$ , let us introduce some notation. For  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  let  $\underline{a} = (a^n)_{n \geq 1}$ , so  $\underline{0} = 0, 0, \dots$  and  $\underline{1} = 1, 1, \dots$ . Clearly,  $T(\underline{0}) = \underline{1}$ ,  $T(\underline{1}) = 1/2, 1/3, \dots$ , while

$$\left( T \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right)_n = \frac{1}{H_{n+1}}, \quad \text{where } H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}.$$

The numbers  $H_n$  are called the harmonic numbers. In [2] it is proved that

$$\frac{1}{H_{n+1}} = \int_0^1 x^n \left( \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_p x^{-\xi_p} \right) dx,$$

where  $0 = \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots$  satisfy  $-p - 1 < \xi_p < -p$  for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha_p > 0, p = 0, 1, \dots$ . More precisely, it is proved that  $\xi_p$  is the unique solution  $x \in ]-p - 1, -p[$  of the equation  $\Psi(1 + x) = -\gamma$ , and  $\alpha_p = 1/\Psi'(1 + \xi_p)$ . Here  $\Psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$  and  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

In [3] it is proved directly that  $T^n(\underline{0})$  converges to the fixed point  $(m_n)$ , and this was used to derive that the same holds independent of where in  $\mathcal{H}$  the iteration starts.

We cannot apply Banach's fixed point theorem directly because of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *The best Lipschitz constant  $c$  in*

$$d(T(a_n), T(b_n)) \leq c d((a_n), (b_n)) \quad \text{for } (a_n), (b_n) \in \mathcal{K}$$

*is  $c = 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $(a_n), (b_n)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(a_n), T(b_n)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{\left| \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j - a_j) \right|}{(1 + a_1 + \dots + a_k)(1 + b_1 + \dots + b_k)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k |a_j - b_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j - b_j| \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2d((a_n), (b_n)), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which shows that  $T$  is Lipschitz with constant  $c = 2$ .

Assume next that  $T$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition with constant  $c$ .

We note that

$$d(\underline{0}, \underline{a}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a/2)^n = \frac{a}{2-a}.$$

Furthermore,  $T(\underline{0}) = \underline{1}$  and for  $0 \leq a < 1$  we have  $T(\underline{a})_n = (1-a)/(1-a^{n+1})$ , so finally

$$d(T(\underline{0}), T(\underline{a})) = a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{1-a^n}{1-a^{n+1}}.$$

This gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{1-a^n}{1-a^{n+1}} \leq c \frac{1}{2-a}, \quad 0 < a \leq 1,$$

and letting  $a \rightarrow 0$  we find  $c \geq 2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.*

Let us now introduce the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ (a_n) \in \mathcal{K} \mid a_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

which is a compact convex subset of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We first note that  $T(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  because for any  $(a_n) \in \mathcal{K}$  we have  $a_1 \leq 1$ , hence

$$T(a_n)_1 = \frac{1}{1+a_1} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (9) we always have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(a_n), T(b_n)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{\left| \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j - a_j) \right|}{(1 + a_1 + \dots + a_k)(1 + b_1 + \dots + b_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+a_1)(1+b_1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k |a_j - b_j| = \frac{1}{(1+a_1)(1+b_1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j - b_j| \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \\ &= \frac{2}{(1+a_1)(1+b_1)} d((a_n), (b_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If now  $(a_n), (b_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $a_1, b_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , and hence  $\frac{2}{(1+a_1)(1+b_1)} \leq \frac{8}{9}$  so that

$$d(T(a_n), T(b_n)) \leq \frac{8}{9} d((a_n), (b_n)) \quad \text{for } (a_n), (b_n) \in \mathcal{C},$$

showing that  $T$  is a contraction on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $T$  maps  $\mathcal{K}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  any fixed point of  $T$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  must belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a unique fixed point by Banach's fixed point theorem, and this must be the sequence  $(m_n)$  determined by (3). We also see that for any  $\xi = (a_n) \in \mathcal{K}$  the iterates  $T^{n+1}(\xi) = T^n(T(\xi))$  converge to the fixed point  $(m_n)$ , which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2** *The compact set  $\mathcal{H}$  defined in (6) has diameter  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{H}) = 1$  and the only two points  $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$  for which  $d(a, b) = 1$  are  $\{a, b\} = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$1 = d(\underline{0}, \underline{1}) \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 1,$$

so we only have to prove that if  $d(a, b) = 1$  for some  $a = (a_n), b = (b_n)$  from  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\{a, b\} = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}$ . Supposing that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |a_n - b_n| = 1,$$

then necessarily  $|a_n - b_n| = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, for each  $n$  necessarily  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are either 0 and 1 or these numbers reversed. Assume now that  $a_1 = 0, b_1 = 1$ . Since  $a_n = \int_0^1 x^n d\mu(x)$  for a probability measure  $\mu$ , the condition  $a_1 = 0$  forces  $\mu$  to be the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  with unit mass concentrated at 0, and hence  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This shows that  $a = \underline{0}$  and  $b = \underline{1}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Akhiezer, N. I., *The classical moment problem*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [2] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., Some transformations of Hausdorff moment sequences and Harmonic numbers, *Canad. J. Math.* **57** (2005), 941–960.
- [3] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., The fixed point for a transformation of Hausdorff moment sequences and iteration of a rational function, *Math. Scand.* **103** (2008), 11–39.
- [4] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., Iteration of the rational function  $z - 1/z$  and a Hausdorff moment sequence, *Expo. Math.* **26** (2008), 375–385.

- [5] Smart, D. R., *Fixed point theorems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.

Christian Berg  
Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen  
Universitetsparken 5  
DK-2100 København Ø, Denmark  
E-mail [berg@math.ku.dk](mailto:berg@math.ku.dk)

Maryam Beygmohammadi  
Department of Mathematics  
Islamic Azad University-Kermanshah branch  
Kermanshah, Iran  
E-mail [maryambmohamadi@mathdep.iust.ac.ir](mailto:maryambmohamadi@mathdep.iust.ac.ir)